PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Docket No: Q90376

Takayuki KATO, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/549,904 Group Art Unit: 1773

Confirmation No.: 7150 Examiner: Elizabeth A. Robinson

Filed: September 20, 2005

For: HOLLOW CERAMICS PARTICLES, HOLLOW CERAMICS PARTICLES-

CONTAINING COMPOSITE MATERIAL AND SLIDING MEMBER

REQUEST TO WITHDRAW FINALITY

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants submit that the final Office Action dated March 4, 2008 was improperly made final. The Office Action indicates that claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as assertedly being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as assertedly being obvious over JP 2003-160330 ("Kato").

Applicants respectfully solicits withdrawal of the finality of the Office Action for the following reasons.

In the Amendment filed December 6, 2007, Applicants argued that Kato is not prior art

under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as of its publication date of June 3, 2003, which is not more than one

year from Applicants' PCT filing date of March 18, 2004. Furthermore, to remove Kato as a

reference under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(a)/103(a), Applicants filed a verified English translation of

their foreign priority documents, JP 2003-77971 and JP 2003-77972, together with a statement

showing that the foreign priority documents have support for each claim under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

In response, the Examiner stated that Applicants' filing date is the U.S. filing date of

September 20, 2005 and not the PCT filing date because Applicants' PCT was not filed in

English.

Applicants submit that the Examiner has made an error in stating that Applicants' PCT

must be filed in English and that the effective filing date of a National Stage Application is also

the filing date of the national stage application. (See M.P.E.P. § 1893.03(b)). Furthermore, there

is no requirement that the PCT application be filed in the English language. Under PCT Article

11(3), "an international filing date shall have the effect of a regular national application in each

designated State as of the international filing date, which shall be considered to be the actual

filing date in each designated State." Thus, Kato is not prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and

therefore finality of the rejection based on Kato is improper.

During a telephonic interview on April 11, 2008, Applicants requested withdraw of

finality based on the aforementioned rationale. The Examiner conceded with Applicants'

position and indicated that the finality will be withdrawn upon request, for which Applicants

submit the present request.

2

REQUEST TO WITHDRAW FINALITY

Application No.: 10/549,904 Attorney Docket No.: Q90376

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Sunhee Lee/

Sunhee Lee

Registration No. 53,892

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/265550

65565
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 17, 2008